arXiv:1407.4553v1 [math.CV] 17 Jul 2014

ON HOLOMORPHIC FLOWS ON STEIN SURFACES: TRANSVERSALITY, DICRITICALNESS AND STABILITY

T. ITO B. SCÁRDUA Y. YAMAGISHI

ABSTRACT. We study the classification of the pairs $(N,\ X)$ where N is a Stein surface and X is a complete holomorphic vector field with isolated singularities on N. We describe the role of transverse sections in the classification of X and give necessary and sufficient conditions on X in order to have N biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^2$. As a sample of our results, we prove that N is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^2$ if $H^2(N,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$, X has a finite number of singularities and exhibits a non-nilpotent singularity with three separatrices or, equivalently, a singularity with first jet of the form $\lambda_1\, x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \lambda_2\, y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ where $\lambda_1/\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_+$. We also study flows with many periodic orbits, in a sense we will make clear, proving they admit a meromorphic first integral or they exhibit some special periodic orbit, whose holonomy map is a non-resonant non-linearizable diffeomorphism map. Finally, we apply our results together with more classical techniques of holomorphic foliations on algebraic surfaces to study the case of flows on affine algebraic surfaces. We suppose the flow is generated by an algebraic vector field. Such flows are then proved, under some undemanding conditions on the singularities, to be given by closed rational linear one-forms or admit rational first integrals.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 2
2. Preliminaries 5
2.1. Holomorphic flows on Stein spaces 5
2.2. Suzuki's theory 5
2.3. Non-resonant map germs and singularities 6
3. Groups with many closed orbits 7
4. Proof of Theorem 1 9
5. Proof of Theorem 2 10
5.1. Transversality 10
5.2. Dicriticalness 10
5.3. First jet resonance 13
6. Proof of Theorem 3: global stability for holomorphic flows 15
7. Proof of Theorem 4: flows with many periodic orbits 16
8. Proof of Theorem 5: flows on affine surfaces 17
References 21

1. INTRODUCTION

In a pioneering work, M. Suzuki introduces the study of holomorphic flows on Stein surfaces by the use of techniques of Holomorphic Foliations, Potential Theory and Theory of Analytic Spaces (cf. [46] and [47]). In his original papers the author proves that *there is a notion of typical orbit* and *if this orbit is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$ then the corresponding holomorphic foliation has a meromorphic first integral*. Another achievement in [46] is that *orbits biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$ have analytic closure of dimension one*, i.e., *are contained in invariant analytic curves*. More recently these facts have been used as main ingredients in the classification of complete *polynomial* vector fields in the affine space $\mathbb{C}^2$ ([3], [14]). This paper is devoted to the study of geometric properties in connection with the classification of holomorphic flows on Stein manifolds of dimension two. More precisely, we resume the study of holomorphic flows on connected Stein surfaces under the hypothesis of existence of a suitable real transverse section (see [25]) and extend this study to the classification of the pairs N, X where N is a Stein surface and X is a complete holomorphic vector field on N having a suitable isolated singularity. Given a holomorphic vector field X with isolated singularities on a complex surface N we denote by $\mathcal{F}(X)$ the one-dimensional holomorphic foliation on N whose leaves are the nonsingular orbits of X and with singular set $\mathrm{sing}(\mathcal{F}(X)) = \mathrm{sing}(X)$. A singularity $p \in \mathrm{sing}(X)$ is *dicritical* if for some neighborhood $p \in V$ there are infinitely many orbits of $X\big|_V$ accumulating only at p. The closure of such a local leaf is an invariant analytic curve called a *separatrix* of X through p. An isolated singularity $P \in \mathrm{sing}(X)$ is in the *Poincaré domain* if $DX(P)$ is nonsingular with eigenvalues λ_1, λ_2 satisfying $\lambda_1/\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_-$ ([1]). Let $\overline{D}^2(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be the closed unit disc given in coordinates (z_1, z_2) by $\sum_{j=1}^{2} |z_j|^2 \leq 1$. Our first result reads as:

Theorem 1. *Let X be a* complete *holomorphic vector field with isolated singularities on a connected Stein surface N with $H^2(N, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is transverse to the boundary of a compact domain $D \subset N$ biholomorphic to the closed unit 2-disc $\overline{D}^2(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$. Then there is a holomorphic diffeomorphism Φ of an invariant neighborhood U of D in N onto $\Phi(U) = \mathbb{C}^2$ such that Φ conjugates X to a linear vector field $X_\lambda = x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \lambda y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}_-$, or to a Poincaré-Dulac normal form vector field $Y_n = y\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + (nx + y^n)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}\backslash\{1\}$ in U. We can take $U = N$ if and only if every leaf of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ crosses the boundary ∂D.*

Theorem 1 generalizes a result in [25] and is a main ingredient in our approach. As we will see in Theorem 2 below, we can take $U = N$ if $X\big|_U$ is conjugate to X_λ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ or, equivalently, if X has some non-nilpotent or simple (i.e., nondegenerate) dicritical singularity in N (the dicritical last part is in [42]). Before stating our second main result we shall introduce some terminology motivated by [25] and classical notions from Geometric Theory of Foliations ([17]).

Definition 1. Given a holomorphic vector field X on a surface N we shall say that:

(1) X has the *stability property* if it is complete and exhibits a periodic closed orbit in N having finite holonomy group.
(2) X has the *global transversality property* if one of the conditions below is satisfied:

(2.i) X is transverse to ∂D_j , $\forall\, j \in \mathbb{N}$ where $\bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} D_j = N$ is an exhaustion of N by domains biholomorphic to the closed 2-disc $\overline{D}^2(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$.

(2.ii) X is transverse to the boundary ∂D of a compact domain $D \subset N$ biholomorphic to the closed unit 2-disc $\overline{D}^2(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ and such that every leaf of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ crosses ∂D.

(3) X has the *first jet resonance property* if one the conditions below is satisfied:

(3.i) X has a linearizable singularity of type $X_\lambda = x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \lambda y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $\lambda = k/\ell \in \mathbb{Q}_+$.

(3.ii) X has a non-nilpotent singularity with first jet of the form X_λ , $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_+$.

(4) X has the *dicriticalness property* if fulfills one of the following conditions:

(4.1) X has a dicritical singularity with non-nilpotent linear part.

(4.2) X exhibits a non-nilpotent singularity having at least three separatrices.

(4.3) X has some singularity in the Poincaré domain and $\mathcal{F}(X)$ has a meromorphic first integral in N, for instance if X has some simple dicritical singularity.

The following result is a mix of characterization of connected Stein surfaces biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^2$ and partial classification of holomorphic flows on Stein surfaces. It may be also seen as a follow-up to results in [25, 42, 46] and [47]:

Theorem 2. *Let N be a connected Stein surface with $H^2(N,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *N is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^2$.*

(2) *N admits a complete holomorphic vector field X with a finite number of singularities and satisfying one of the following conditions:*

(a) *X has the global transversality property.*

(b) *X has the first jet resonance property.*

(c) *X has the dicriticalness property.*

(d) *X has the stability property.*

Theorems 1 and 2 are proved in Section 4 and Section 5 respectively. Some undemanding consequences of Theorem 2 are:

Corollary 1. *Let N be a connected Stein surface with $H^2(N,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ equipped with a complete holomorphic vector field X with finitely many singularities in N and having a non-nilpotent dicritical singularity, e.g., if X has a singularity where its first jet is of the form X_λ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_+$. Then N is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^2$ and X is globally analytically linearizable in N.*

Corollary 2 ([42])**.** *Let X be a complete holomorphic vector field on $\mathbb{C}^2$. If X has some simple dicritical singularity then X is globally linearizable in $\mathbb{C}^2$ as X_λ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_+$.*

Remark 1. The conclusion of Theorem 1 is clearly false if we do not assume that X has the first jet resonance property. For instance take the vector field

$$X = \lambda_1 x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \lambda_2 y\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + P(x^p y^q)(qx\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - py\frac{\partial}{\partial y}),$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*$, P is a polynomial in one variable and $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, $\langle p, q\rangle = 1$. Then X is complete in $\mathbb{C}^2$ but is not analytically conjugate on $\mathbb{C}^2$ to a vector field X_λ or Y_n.

Section 6 contains some Global Stability results for holomorphic flows on connected Stein surfaces. As a model we have:

Theorem 3 (Stability theorem, [42]). *Let X be a* complete *holomorphic vector field on a connected Stein surface N with $H^2(N,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Suppose that X has some closed orbit L_0 biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ having finite holonomy group. Then $\mathcal{F}(X)$ admits a meromorphic first integral and, in particular, all nonsingular orbits are closed off* $\mathrm{sing}(\mathcal{F}(X))$ *and have finite holonomy groups.*

Our basic models X_λ and Y_n appearing in Theorem 1 are studied in the sequel:

Example 1. Let $X_\lambda = x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \lambda y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}_-$ and $Y_n := y\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + (nx + y^n)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then X_λ and Y_n are complete holomorphic vector fields on $\mathbb{C}^2$ and also they generated foliations $\mathcal{F}(X_\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{F}(Y_n)$ with the following properties:

(i) $\mathcal{F}(X_\lambda)$ is transverse to the spheres $S^3(r)$, $\forall\, r > 0$.
(ii) $\mathcal{F}(Y_n)$ is transverse to the sphere $S^3(r)$ if $r > 0$ is small enough and if $n = 1$ then $\mathcal{F}(Y_1)$ is transverse to all sphere $S^3(r)$, $r > 0$.

In both cases the foliation has a single singularity on $\mathbb{C}^2$ and this singularity is either nondicritical (for Y_n or X_λ with $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Q}_+$) or the singularity (and also the globally defined foliation) admits a meromorphic first integral of type $\frac{x^k}{y^\ell}$, $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ (for X_λ in the case $\lambda = \frac{k}{\ell}$).

We recall that the basin of attraction of the origin as a singularity of X_λ is the whole plane $\mathbb{C}^2$, this is clear from flow integration. The same holds for Y_n, a holonomy argument for the case $n = 1$ is as follows. It is well-known that the holonomy map of the leaf $L = \{y = 0\}\backslash\{0\}$ is a homography of the form $h(y) = \frac{y}{1+ay}$, $a \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$; this holonomy map is defined on a transverse section Σ of the form $\Sigma : \{x = 1\}$. Thus, since the orbits of h accumulate the origin of Σ, every leaf of $\mathcal{F}(Y_n)$ accumulates the leaf L which, by its turn, accumulates the origin. Another general argument comes from the integration of the Pfaffian equation: $\Omega = y\,dx - (nx + y^n)dy = 0$. We observe that $\Omega = -y^{n+1}d\ln(ye^{-\frac{x}{y^n}})$ and we have a Liouvillian first integral $F = ye^{-\frac{x}{y^n}}$ whose fibers clearly accumulate $\{y = 0\}$ and therefore the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$.

Let X be a vector field on N and let $\xi \subset N\backslash\mathrm{sing}(X)$ be a subset of N consisting of orbits of X. We shall say that a point $q \in N$ is a *convergence point* for ξ if each neighborhood V of q in N intersects infinitely many orbits in ξ. In this case the orbit through q is also contained in the set of convergence points of ξ. We shall say that ξ is a *divergent* subset of N if its set of convergence points is empty.

Theorem 4. *Let X be a* complete *holomorphic vector field with isolated singularities on a connected Stein surface N with $H^2(N,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Denote by $\xi(X) \subset N$ the subset of periodic orbits, i.e., orbits diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$. Then there are the following possibilities:*

(1) *The set of periodic orbits has no convergence set on N, or contains only simply-connected orbits in the convergence set.*
(2) *There is a meromorphic first integral for the flow on N.*
(3) *We have a (nRnL) periodic orbit.*

We shall explain in §3 what we mean by a (nRnL) orbit (see Definition 4). As for the moment it suffices to that this is an orbit, diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$, whose holonomy map is a non-resonant and not analytically linearizable (i.e., a so called (*nRnL*)) map.

In the last section we study flows on affine (algebraic) surfaces. Our main result for this situation is:

Theorem 5. *Let X be an algebraic vector field on a regular affine surface $N \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ and assume that X is complete in N and the projectivization $\overline{N} \subset \mathbb{C}P^m$ is such that:*

(1) *The singularities of $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ in $D = \overline{N} \setminus N$ are generalized curves.*
(2) *The flow of X has infinitely many periodic orbits in N.*

Then, there is a rational first integral for $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$, there is a meromorphic first integral for $\mathcal{F}$ in N, or $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ is given by a simple poles closed rational one-form Ω in $\overline{N}$.

The exact meaning of the hypotheses is given in §6. The classification of such flows is then finished by means of Remark 4, where we deal with the case of only finitely many periodic orbits. Indeed, the statement of Theorem 5 can be completed to the case of only finitely many periodic orbits. Also it can be completed to assure the existence of a rational first integral or a closed rational one-form, always that we have a meromorphic first integral on N (see Remark 4).

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Holomorphic flows on Stein spaces.** We consider an analytic action $\varphi : \mathbb{C} \times V \to V$ on a normal Stein variety (the general theory of such spaces can be found in [21] and [20]). This means that $\varphi(0, z) = z$ and $\varphi(s_1, \varphi(s_2, z)) = \varphi(s_1+s_2, z)$ for any $z \in V$ and $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. The orbit of $z \in V$ is the subset $O_z := \{\varphi(s, z); s \in \mathbb{C}\} \subset V$. The isotropy subgroup of $z \in V$ is $G_z := \{s \in \mathbb{C}; \varphi(s, z) = z\}$. The map $s \mapsto \varphi_s(z) = \varphi(s, z)$ induces an injective immersion of $\mathbb{C}/G_z$ in V whose image is O_z. Thus the orbits are either isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{C}^*$, a torus, or a point. Since V is Stein it cannot contain compact analytic subsets, therefore no orbit can be a torus . A point $z \in V$ is called *fixed* if $\varphi(s, z) = z$ for any $s \in \mathbb{C}$. Clearly its orbit reduces to $\{z\}$. Denote by $\mathrm{Fix}(\varphi)$ the set of fixed points of φ. We will assume that the set $\mathrm{Fix}(\varphi)$ is discrete. Then, the orbits of φ are the leaves of a one dimensional foliation $\mathcal{F}_\varphi$ with a discrete set of singularities, $\mathrm{sing}\, \mathcal{F}_\varphi = \mathrm{Fix}(\varphi)$.

Any action $\psi = (\psi_t)_{t\in\mathbb{C}^*}$ of the group $\mathbb{C}^*$ on a Stein space V induces an action $\varphi = (\varphi_s)_{s\in\mathbb{C}}$ of $\mathbb{C}$ on V given by $\varphi_s = \psi_{\exp(s)}$.
The study of the holomorphic flows on Stein spaces of dimension two begins with M. Suzuki in [46] and [47]. He proved that if the generic orbit of φ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$, then the foliation $\mathcal{F}_\varphi$ admits a meromorphic first integral. Using the theory, developed by Nishino and Saito, of entire and meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^2$ according to their prime surfaces, Suzuki proved analytic linearization theorems for holomorphic actions of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathbb{C}^2$. He also gave a good description of the possible normal forms of a holomorphic flow with proper orbits on $\mathbb{C}^2$.

2.2. **Suzuki's theory.** A fundamental contribution to the study of holomorphic flows and foliations on Stein surfaces, was made by M. Suzuki who introduced on this subject the use of techniques of Potential Theory and the Theory of Analytic Spaces (cf. [46] and [47]).

Let us recall some of Suzuki's results.

Theorem of Suzuki, [46]: *Given a $\mathbb{C}$- action φ on a normal Stein analytic space V of dimension $n \geq 2$:*

(i) *There is a subset $e \subset V$ of logarithmic capacity zero such that $\varphi_t(e) = e$, for any $t \in \mathbb{C}$, and all orbits of φ in $V \setminus e$ are of the same topological type.*

(ii) *Any leaf of $\mathcal{F}_\varphi$ containing an orbit of φ isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$ is closed in $V \backslash sing\mathcal{F}_\varphi$.*
(iii) *If $n = 2$ and the leaves of $\mathcal{F}_\varphi$ are properly embedded in $V \setminus \mathrm{sing}(\mathcal{F}_\varphi)$ then there is a meromorphic first integral of $\mathcal{F}_\varphi$ on V, not constant, and one can find a Riemann surface S and a surjective holomorphic map $p : V \backslash sing\mathcal{F}_\varphi \to S$, such that 1. The irreducible components of the fibers $\{p^{-1}(w); w \in S\}$ of p are the leaves of $\mathcal{F}_\varphi$.*
2. The subset e union of all the nonirreducible levels $p^{-1}(w)$; $w \in S$, has zero logarithmic capacity.
(iv) *If $n = 2$ and the generic leaf is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$, then any leaf of $\mathcal{F}_\varphi$ is closed in $V \backslash sing\mathcal{F}_\varphi$ and therefore there is a meromorphic first integral as in* (iii).

2.3. **Non-resonant map germs and singularities.** A germ of a complex diffeomorphism f at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ writes $f(z) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda}z + a_{k+1}z^{k+1} + ...$ If $\lambda \notin \mathbb{R}$ then $|f'(0)| \neq 1$, the germ is *hyperbolic*, it is *analytically linearizable* ([16]) and has no closed orbit outside the origin. If $f'(0)$ is a root of the unity (i.e., if $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$) then the dynamics of f is well-known ([4]). In particular, none of the orbits outside the origin is closed. If $f'(0) \in S^1$ is not a root of the unity then we have $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. In this case we shall say that the diffeomorphism is *non-resonant*. Such a map is formally but not always analytically linearizable (see Cremer [15]). Such germs have been studied by several authors as Siegel, Brjuno, Yoccoz and Pérez-Marco. It is well-known that the map germ f is analytically linearizable if, and only if, it is topologically conjugate to its linear part. This is also equivalent to the fact that the map f is stable ([37, 2]). Assume now that the map is not analytically linearizable. Then, a remarkable result of Pérez-Marco ([32]) shows that under certain diophantine conditions on the linear part of the diffeomorphism, we have either the diffeomorphism is analytically linearizable or admits the existence of *small cycles*, that is, periodic orbits contained in any neighborhood of the origin. On the other hand, since these maps can be constructed non-linearizable, we conclude that there exist non-resonant diffeomorphism germs f exhibiting small cycles but, having in any neighborhood of the origin, some non-periodic orbit.

A very nice description of the dynamics, including the existence of the so called *hedgehogs* of such maps is given by Pérez-Marco in [33, 34].

On the other hand, Yoccoz and Pérez-Marco proved that given any non-resonant map germ f, there is a germ of a holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{F}(f)$ at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$, such that:

- $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is a germ of isolated singularity in the Siegel domain.
- The holonomy of one of the two separatrices of $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is analytically conjugate to f.

In particular, in suitable local coordinates we can write $\mathcal{F}(f)$ as given by $x(1+A(x,y))dy - \lambda y(1+B(x,y))dx = 0$, for some holomorphic $A(x,y)$, $B(x,y)$ with $A(0,0) = B(0,0) = 0$. In the normal form above, the separatrices are the coordinate axes. From the above properties for f the foliation $\mathcal{F}(f)$ exhibits the following characteristics:

- $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is in the Siegel domain and is a non-resonant singularity.
- $\mathcal{F}(f)$ has on each neighborhood of the origin, some closed leaf and also some leaf which is not closed and accumulates on one of the separatrices.
- $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is not analytically (nor topologically) linearizable.

In particular, $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is not analytically linearizable, but admits infinitely many closed orbits. Also $\mathcal{F}(f)$ always admits some leaf which accumulates at the separatrices, but not only at the separatrices.

On the other hand, since any non-resonant diffeomorphism is formally linearizable, a foliation as above is formally similar to the linear model $xdy - \lambda ydx = 0$.

Definition 2. A non-resonant and not analytically linearizable map germ $f \in \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ will be called a (*nRnL*) *map*. Similarly, a foliation in the Siegel domain whose holonomy is represented by a (nRnL) map is called a (*nRnL*) *foliation singularity*.

In this paper we classify the groups of germs at a fixed point of complex diffeomorphisms in the complex line that exhibit infinitely many closed orbits. It turns out that they are either finite groups or generated by a (nRnL) map and a rational rotation (cf.Proposition 1).

3. Groups with many closed orbits

Let $\mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ denote the group of germs at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}$of holomorphic diffeomorphisms. It is a well-known result that a a finite group of germs of complex diffeomorphisms is analytically conjugate to a cyclic group generated by a rational rotation. Thus such a finite group is, up to a holomorphic change of coordinates, of the form $\{\exp(2k\pi\sqrt{-1}/\nu),\ k = 0, 1, ..., \nu - 1\}$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Now we shall extend this fact in what follows.

Definition 3 (resonant group)**.** A germ of a complex diffeomorphism $g \in \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ is called *resonant* if its linear part is a root of unity, i.e., $g'(0) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}k/\ell)$ for some $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$. A group $G \subset \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ of germs of holomorphic diffeomorphisms will be called *resonant* if each map $g \in G$ is a resonant germ. This is equivalent to the fact that G has a set of generators consisting only of resonant maps.

The following is, for the case of resonant groups, a generalization of a result in [29].

Lemma 1. *Let $G \subset \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a finitely generated resonant subgroup such that the set $\mathcal{C}(G)$ of points having closed pseudo-orbit is infinite in a neighborhood U of the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Then G is finite cyclic and analytically conjugate to its linear part.*

Proof. First we observe that by Nakai density theorem ([31]) the group G must be solvable, otherwise all orbits are dense except for some finite set of real analytic invariant curves. Nevertheless, also according to [31], the orbits in these curves are also dense in these curves and therefore not finite. On the other hand, if G is not an abelian group, then a non-trivial element $g \in G$ in the commutator of G, is of the form $g(z) = z + a_{k+1}z^{k+1} + hot.$, $a_{k+1} \neq 0$. According to [4] the pseudo-orbits outside the origin of $g \in G$ are not finite. Thus G must be abelian and cannot contain elements of the form $g(z) = z + a_{k+1}z^{k+1} + hot.$, $g \neq \mathrm{Id}$. We claim that any element $g \in G$ has finite order. Indeed, assume that there exists $g \in G$ with $g^n \neq \mathrm{Id}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$. Because of what we observed above, we may assume that $g(z) = \lambda \cdot z + hot.$, $\lambda^n \neq 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$. This is not possible since the elements in G are resonant.

Since G is abelian and finitely generated, the claim implies that G itself is finite, and therefore G must be a group of rational rotations up to analytic conjugation. □

Remark 2 (solvable groups)**.** For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the group

$$\mathbb{H}_k = \left\{ \varphi \in \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{C},0);\ \varphi(z)^k = \frac{\mu_\varphi z^k}{1+a_\varphi z^k},\ \mu_\varphi \in \mathbb{C}^*, a_\varphi \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

Then $\mathbb{H}_k$ is a solvable group and, up to formal conjugacy, any solvable nonabelian subgroup G of $\mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{C},0)$ is of this type ([13], a result by Cerveau-Moussu). Moreover, if the group of commutators $[G,G]$ is not cyclic, this conjugacy is analytic.

We can complete the above remark as follows ([41]):

Lemma 2. *Let $G < \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{C},0)$ be a solvable non-abelian subgroup of germs of holomorphic diffeomorphisms fixing the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}$.*

(i) *If the group of commutators $[G,G]$ is not cyclic then G is analytically conjugate to a subgroup of $\mathbb{H}_k = \left\{ z \mapsto \frac{az}{\sqrt[k]{1+bz^k}} \right\}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(ii) *If there is some $f \in G$ of the form $f(z) = e^{2\pi i\lambda} z + \ldots$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{Q}$ then f is analytically linearizable in a coordinate that also embeds G in $\mathbb{H}_k$.*

Another simple remark is the following:

Lemma 3. *Let $f \in \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{C},0)$ be a map germ with linear part $f'(0) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda}$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Q}$. If some iterate of f belongs to some cyclic group generated by a (nRnL) map germ, then f is a (nRnL) map germ.*

Proof. By the hypothesis, there is some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the k-iterate $f^{(k)}$ is an iterate say, $g^{(\ell)}$ of some (nRnL) map g, where $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. If f is not a (nRnL) map then f is analytically linearizable and the same holds for $f^{(k)} = g^{(\ell)}$. Since g and its iterates commute, and since $g^{(\ell)}$ has a non-periodic linear part, a simple computation with power series shows that g is analytically linearizable in the same coordinate, absurd. □

The main fact regarding non fully resonant groups we will refer to is the following:

Proposition 1. *Let $G \subset \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{C},0)$ be a finitely generated subgroup such that the set $\mathcal{C}(G)$ of points having closed pseudo-orbit is infinite in a neighborhood U of the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Then we have two possibilities:*

(1) *G is finite cyclic and analytically conjugate to its linear part.*

(2) *G is abelian, formally but not analytically linearizable, containing only periodic and (nRnL) maps.*

Proof. By Lemma 1 if G is a resonant group then it is cyclic as in (1). Let us then assume that G is not a resonant group. Therefore, let $f \in G$ be a non-resonant diffeomorphism, with linear part $f'(0) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda}$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\mathbb{Q}$. As in the proof of Lemma 1, first observe that G is solvable by Nakai Density theorem ([31]). We have two cases to consider. If G is non-abelian then by a theorem of Cerveau-Moussu m(see [43]) the group G is analytically conjugate to a subgroup of $\mathbb{H}_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (notice that G contains a non-resonant germ, so that the formal conjugation is actually analytic). Now, the non-resonant map f is analytically linearizable as a consequence of (ii) in Lemma 2. Therefore, the orbits of f outside the origin are not closed. This is a contradiction. Thus we conclude that necessarily G is abelian. Because the non-resonant map f is formally linearizable, an easy computation with formal power series shows that indeed the group G is *formally linearizable*, i.e, conjugate by a formal diffeomorphism to its linear part. As above, the

fact that G has closed orbits (arbitrarily) close to the origin implies that none of its non-resonant maps is analytically linearizable. In other words we have proved:

Claim 1. *Each map in G is either a finite order map or it is a (nRnL) map.*

Now let $G_{\rm res} \subset G$ be the subgroup generated by the resonant elements in G. By Lemma 1 $G_{\rm res}$ is cyclic finite, generated by a rational rotation. Because G is abelian, $G_{\rm res}$ is a normal subgroup of G. We may therefore use the above to prove that G is the direct product of a group generated by a rational rotation and by a group generated by a (nRnL) map ([10]). □

We end this section with the definition of (nRnL) orbit:

Definition 4. A periodic orbit of a holomorphic flow will be called a *(nRnL) orbit* if its holonomy map is a (nRnL) map.

4. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section we prove Theorem 1. In what follows X is a complete holomorphic vector field with isolated singularities on a connected Stein surface N satisfying $H^2(N,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. We suppose that $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is transverse to the boundary of a compact domain $D \subset N$ biholomorphic to the closed unit 2-disc $\overline{D}^2(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$.

Proof of Theorem 1. First we observe that since D is biholomorphic to the unit 2-disc $\overline{D}^2(1)$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$ we may apply [24] to conclude that X has a single singularity $P_0 \in D\backslash\partial D$ and each orbit which crosses ∂D tends to P_0 and also P_0 must be a simple singularity in the Poincaré domain. By Poincaré-Dulac theorem we have two cases:

$1^{\rm st}$ **Case**: X is analytically conjugate in a neighborhood V of P_0 to X_λ , $\lambda \notin \mathbb{R}_-$.

If $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ then every leaf of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ in V contains a separatrix of X and we claim that such a leaf must be biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$. Indeed, a leaf L of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is a non-singular orbit of the (complete) flow of X and therefore it is conformally equivalent to one of the following Riemann surfaces: $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{C}^*$ or a compact complex torus $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z})$. Since a Stein manifold contains no compact curve the only possibilities are $L \cong \mathbb{C}$ or $L \cong \mathbb{C}^*$. If $L \cong \mathbb{C}$ then $\overline{L} \supset L \cup \{0\}$ and therefore there is a holomorphic mapping $\overline{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C}\cup\{\infty\} \to \overline{L} \subset N$ which implies that $\overline{L}$ is compact again yielding a contradiction. Therefore $L \cong \mathbb{C}^*$. Now, according to [46] the flow of X does have a generic orbit: there exists an invariant subset $\sigma \subset N$ with zero transverse logarithmic capacity, such that the orbits of X in $N - \sigma$ are pairwise biholomorphic. Clearly $(N-\sigma)\cap V$ contains points in generic orbits and therefore the generic orbit of X is conformally equivalent to $\mathbb{C}^*$. This implies by [46] that the flow of X admits a nonconstant meromorphic first integral on N.

Regarding the flow linearization on D we consider $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}_-$ and observe that, as remarked above, the basin of attraction B_{P_0} of P_0 contains the domain D. The flow of X gives a holomorphic conjugation between X and X_λ in the basin B_{P_0} , we can therefore take $U = B_{P_0}$ and $\Phi(U) = \mathbb{C}^2$.

$2^{\rm nd}$ **Case**: X is analytically conjugate in a neighborhood V of P_0 to Y_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Again the flow gives an extension of the conjugation in V to the basin B_{P_0} and since the basin of Y_n is $\mathbb{C}^2$ we have $U = B_{P_0}$ and $\phi(U) = \mathbb{C}^2$.

Finally we observe that since $P_0 \in D \subset B_{P_0}$ we have $B_{P_0} = N$ if and only if every leaf of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ crosses ∂D. This proves the theorem. □

5. Proof of Theorem 2

Let us pave the way to the proof of Theorem 2. The argumentation in 5.2 and 5.3 below is partially inspired in [14]. Therefore, *in what follows X is a complete holomorphic vector field on a connected Stein surface N with $H^2(N, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.* We shall preserve the same notation and will refer to the the proof of Theorem 1.

5.1. **Transversality.** We first assume that X satisfies the transversality condition (2.i), i.e., X is transverse to ∂D_j , $\forall\, j$ where $\bigcup\limits_{j\in\mathbb{N}} D_j = N$ is an exhaustion of N by domains biholomorphic to the closed 2-disc $\overline{D}^2(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$. By Theorem 1 given any index $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\mathrm{sing}(X) \cap D_j = \{P_j\}$ and the singularity P_j is in the Poincaré domain, moreover the flow of X in D_j gives a holomorphic conjugation Φ_j of $X\big|_{B_{P_j}}$ with a vector field Z_j which is either linear $Z_j = X_{\lambda_j} = x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \lambda_j y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}_-$, or is a Poincaré-Dulac normal form vector field $Z_j = Y_{n_j} = y\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + (n_j x + y^{n_j})\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, $n_j \in \mathbb{N}\backslash\{1\}$. The basin of attraction B_{P_j} equals to N if and only if every leaf of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ crosses the boundary ∂D_j. We claim that this is the case for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, since $\bigcup\limits_{j\in\mathbb{N}} D_j = N$ is an exhaustion of N we must have $P_{j_1} = P_{j_2},\ Z_{j_1} = Z_{j_2}$ and also $B(P_{j_1}) = B(P_{j_2})$, $\forall j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, since $D_j \subset B_{P_j}$ and $\bigcup\limits_{j\in\mathbb{N}} D_j = N$ we conclude $\bigcup\limits_{j\in\mathbb{N}} B_{P_j} = N$ and from the above remark $B_{P_j} = N$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice that this already proves that (2.i) implies (1) in Theorem 2.

Now we assume that X satisfies transversality condition (2.ii). Then by Theorem 1 we conclude that the conjugation Φ between X and one of the models X_λ and Y_n extends to all the manifold N and therefore Φ is a biholomorphism from N onto $\mathbb{C}^2$. This proves that (2.ii) implies (1) in Theorem 2.

5.2. **Dicriticalness.** We begin with a basic remark. Suppose that X has some dicritical singularity in N. By the argumentation in the first case in the proof of Theorem 1, the generic orbit of X is $\mathbb{C}^*$ and $\mathcal{F}(X)$ has a meromorphic first integral ψ in N. Now we proceed our study case by case.

First we assume that X has a singularity $P_0 \in \mathrm{sing}(X)$ such that the first jet of X at P_0 is a nondegenerate singularity in the Poincaré domain. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}(X)$ has a meromorphic first integral (e.g., if X has a dicritical singularity in N). Since $\mathcal{F}(X)$ has a meromorphic first integral in N the singular point P_0 also admits a meromorphic first integral and we conclude from Poincaré-Dulac normal form theorem [1] that necessarily the vector field X is linearizable in a neighborhood of P_0 conjugate to a vector field of the form X_λ where $\lambda = \frac{k}{\ell} \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ (indeed, the nonlinear normal form Y_n admits no local meromorphic first integral because the holonomy of the invariant manifold $\{y = 0\}$ is not finite since it is nontrivial and tangent to the identity).

Assume now that X has at a singularity $P_0 \in \operatorname{sing}(X)$ a first jet of the form $j^1_{P_0} X = \lambda_1 x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \lambda_2 y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ with $\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} = \frac{k}{\ell} \in \mathbb{Q}_+$. In this case P_0 is a dicritical singularity and therefore $\mathcal{F}(X)$ has a meromorphic first integral on N. From the above X is linearizable in a neighborhood of P_0, indeed in the basin of attraction B_{P_0}.

Finally, we assume that X is analytically conjugate to a vector field X_λ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ in a neighborhood of a singular point P_0. Then, necessarily X is conjugate to X_λ in B_{P_0} and therefore we can write $X(x,y) = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{k}{\ell} y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ in suitable holomorphic coordinates in B_{P_0}. Since N is a Stein space with $H^2(N, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ we can write the meromorphic function ψ as $\psi = \frac{f_1}{f_2}$ for some holomorphic function $f_j \colon N \to \mathbb{C}$ and such that f_1, f_2 are locally relatively prime. By the local form of $X = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{k}{\ell} y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ we conclude that f is indeed of the form $f = \frac{\tilde{f}_1^k}{\tilde{f}_2^\ell}$ for some reduced holomorphic functions $\tilde{f}_j \colon N \to \mathbb{C}$, $j = 1, 2$ such that $\{f_1 = 0\} \cap V = \{x = 0\}$ and $\{f_2 = 0\} \cap V = \{y = 0\}$.

Lemma 4. *Assume that X has some singularity P_0 which is nondegenerate and in the Poincaré domain and suppose that X has some dicritical singularity in N or, more generally, that $\mathcal{F}(X)$ has a meromorphic first integral in N. Then the boundary ∂B_{P_0} is a (possibly empty) union of isolated nondicritical singularities of X and analytic curves, each curve accumulates a unique nondicritical singularity of X.*

Proof. Since ∂B_{P_0} is invariant by $\mathcal{F}(X)$ if it is nonempty then it is a union of leaves of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ and singular points of X. We divide the argumentation in several steps.

Step 1: ∂B_{P_0} contains no closed leaf biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$.

Proof of Step 1. Let $L_0 \subset \partial B_{P_0}$ be a leaf of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$. Since $\mathcal{F}(X)$ admits a meromorphic first integral, either L_0 is closed in N or it accumulates only on singular points, i.e., $\overline{L}_0 \subset L_0 \cup \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F}(X))$. Suppose L_0 is closed in N then it is an analytic smooth curve in N. Since N is Stein and $H^2(N, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ we can obtain a reduced equation $\{h = 0\}$ for L_0 in N, where $h \colon N \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic. Since L_0 is a real surface diffeomorphic to a cylinder $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ by a result of Richards [39] we can take a generator $\gamma \colon S^1 \to L_0$ of the homology of L_0 and a holomorphic one-form α on L_0 such that $\displaystyle\int_\gamma \alpha = 1$. Since N is Stein with $H^2(N, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ there is a holomorphic one-form $\tilde{\alpha}$ on N which extends α. Because the holonomy of L_0 is finite (recall that $\mathcal{F}(X)$ has a meromorphic first integral on N) there is a fixed power of γ which has closed lifts $\tilde{\gamma}_z$ to the leaves L_z of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ that contain the points $z \in \Sigma$, where Σ is a sufficiently small transverse disc to $\mathcal{F}(X)$ with $\Sigma \cap L_0 = \{p_0\} \in \gamma(S^1)$. Thus, for $z \in \Sigma$ close enough to p_0 we have $\big| \displaystyle\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_z} \tilde{\alpha} - \int_{\tilde{\gamma}_{p_0}} \tilde{\alpha} \big| < \frac{1}{2}$, but $\tilde{\gamma}_{p_0} = \gamma$ and, since $\gamma \subset L_0$, $\displaystyle\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_{p_0}} \tilde{\alpha} = \int_\gamma \tilde{\alpha}\big|_{L_0} = \int_\gamma \alpha = 1$ so that $\displaystyle\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_z} \tilde{\alpha} \neq 0$. Or the other hand $\tilde{\alpha}$ is holomorphic to that $\tilde{\alpha}\big|_{L_z}$ is holomorphic and therefore closed what implies, since $\tilde{\gamma}_z \subset L_z$ is closed, that L_z has nontrivial homology and therefore necessarily $L_z \cong \mathbb{C}^*$. Furthermore, every leaf intersecting Σ (small enough transverse disc intersecting L_0) cannot extend to (cannot embed into) a holomorphic curve $S^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ with trivial homology. However, since $L_0 \subset \partial B_{P_0}$ there are leaves L of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ such that L intersect

discs Σ as above and which satisfy $L \subset B_{P_0}$. Such a leaf L accumulates P_0 and therefore $L \cup \{P_0\}$ is a holomorphic curve biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$ and therefore with trivial homology; yielding a contradiction. □

Step 2: All leaves of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ are biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$.

Proof of Step 2. Indeed, in the open set B_{P_0} the flow of X is conjugate to the periodic flow of X_λ, $\lambda = k/\ell$ so in B_{P_0} there is a time $\tau \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$ such that the flow X^t of X satisfies $X^{t+\tau} = X^t$, $\forall\, t \in \mathbb{C}$. By the Identity Principle (N is always assumed to be connected) we get that the flow of X is periodic of period τ and therefore all nonsingular orbits are biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$. □

Step 3: ∂B_{P_0} is a union of isolated nondicritical singularities and analytic curves each of these curves contains a unique nondicritical singularity of X.

Proof of Step 3. In fact, by the first two steps each leaf L contained in B_{P_0} must be biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$ and is not closed in N so that it accumulates some singularity P of X and since $\overline{L} \supset L \cup \{P\} \simeq \mathbb{C}^* \cup \{0\} = \mathbb{C}$ and $\overline{L}$ cannot be compact, it follows that $\overline{L} = L \cup \{P_0\}$ is an analytic curve in N and L accumulates no other singularity of X.

Now we study the isolated points of ∂B_{P_0}. Given an isolated point $P \in \partial B_{P_0}$ clearly $P \in \mathrm{sing}(X)$. If P is a dicritical singularity then, since $\mathcal{F}(X)$ has a meromorphic first integral, all leaves close enough to P accumulate P and this is not possible for leaves $L \subset B_{P_0}$ because, as we have seen, a leaf cannot accumulate two distinct singularities (such a leaf would be contained in a rational curve in N what is not possible because N is Stein). Thus P is not dicritical and $\mathcal{F}(X)$ has a holomorphic first integral in a neighborhood of P.

Finally we observe that if a leaf $L \subset \partial B_{P_0}$ accumulates at a singularity $P \in \mathrm{sing}(X)$ then, as above, this singularity is nondicritical: the basin of attraction of a dicritical singularity is open and contains an open neighborhood of the singularity so that, since $P \in \partial B_{P_0}$, some leaf $L_1 \subset B_{P_0}$ intersects this neighborhood and therefore L_1 accumulates both P_0 and P which is impossible. Thus P is nondicritical. □

Lemma 4 is proved as a consequence of the three steps above. □

Lemma 5. *Let X be a complete holomorphic vector field on a connected Stein surface N with $H^2(N, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $P_0 \in \mathrm{sing}(X)$ be a dicritical linearizable singularity. Under the above framework assume that the number of analytic curves in ∂B_{P_0} is finite (e.g., if $\mathrm{sing}(X)$ is a finite set). Then $N = B_{P_0}$ and in particular N is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^2$.*

Proof. Let us first prove that $N = B_{P_0} \cup \partial B_{P_0}$. Indeed, put $A = N - \partial B_{P_0}$ and $B = B_{P_0}$. Then A is a connected open subset of N because under the hypotheses above ∂B_{P_0} is a thin set and therefore it does not disconnect N. Since B is also open and connected and $\partial A = \partial B$ it follows that $A = B$ because $B \subset A$. Therefore $N = B_{P_0} \cup \partial B_{P_0}$ and $N \setminus \partial B_{P_0} = B_{P_0}$. Let us prove that $\partial B_{P_0} = \emptyset$. Suppose there is some analytic curve (leaf) $L_0 \subset \partial B_{P_0}$. We take a reduced equation $L_0 : \{f = 0\}$ for L_0, given by $f \colon N \to \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic. Define then the meromorphic one-form $\alpha = \frac{df}{f}$ on N. Given a transverse disc $\Sigma \cong \mathbb{D}$ to $\mathcal{F}(X)$ with $\Sigma \cap L_0 = \{0\}$ we consider a simple loop $\gamma \colon S \to \Sigma$ around $p \in \Sigma$ such that $\displaystyle\int_\gamma \alpha = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}$. We can assume that $\gamma(S^1) \subset B_{P_0}$ because $\Sigma \setminus (\Sigma \cap \partial B_{P_0}) \subset B_{P_0}$

and ∂B_{P_0} is thin. Since B_{P_0} is simply-connected it follows that γ is null-homotopic in $N\backslash\partial B_{P_0} = B_{P_0}$ and since α is closed and holomorphic in B_{P_0} it follows that $\int_\gamma \alpha = 0$ yielding a contradiction. This proves that ∂B_{P_0} must have dimension zero, i.e., it is a (discrete) subset of $\mathrm{sing}(X)$. Now a similar argumentation for an isolated point $p \in \partial B_{P_0}$ shows that, since $H_2(B_{P_0}, \mathbb{R}) = 0$, we must have $\partial B_{P_0} = \emptyset$. Therefore $N = B_{P_0}$ and the lemma is proved. □

5.3. **First jet resonance.** In the proof of Theorem 2 we shall need the following lemma:

Lemma 6. *Let X be a* complete *holomorphic vector field on a connected Stein surface N with $H^2(N, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $P_0 \in \mathrm{sing}(X)$ an* isolated *singularity of X. Then the first jet of X at P_0 is non zero.*

Proof. We basically follow [38]. By the Separatrix Theorem [7] there exists a germ of invariant analytic curve Γ (possibly singular at P_0) with $P_0 \in \Gamma$. Let $L_0 \subset N$ be the (non-singular) orbit of X that contains $\Gamma - \{P_0\}$. We have two possibilities:
1^{st}: L_0 is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$.

This is not possible because $N \supset \overline{L}_0 \supset L_0 \cup \{P_0\} = L_0 \cup \Gamma \cong \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ and N contains no compact curve.
2^{nd}: L_0 is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$.

In this case L_0 is closed off $\mathrm{sing}(X)$ and therefore $\overline{L}_0 = L_0 \cup \{P_0\} = L_0 \cup \Gamma \cong \mathbb{C}$. We have a global "Puiseaux" parametrization $\sigma\colon \mathbb{C} \to \overline{L}_0 \subset N$ (even if Γ is singular at 0) which is an injective holomorphic map σ with $\sigma(0) = P_0$ and $\sigma'(z) \neq 0, \forall\, z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Thus we can lift the vector field $X^* = X|_{\overline{L}_0}$ to a holomorphic vector field $\widetilde{X}^*$ on $\mathbb{C}$. The equation $\sigma_*(\widetilde{X}^*) = X^*$ implies that σ conjugates the flows of $\widetilde{X}^*$ and X^* and since X^* is complete with $X^*(P_0) = 0$ we conclude that $\widetilde{X}^*$ is a complete holomorphic vector field on $\mathbb{C}$ with $\widetilde{X}^*(0) = 0$. According to [14] this implies that $\widetilde{X}^*(z) = az$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\}0\}$ because $\widetilde{X}^* \not\equiv 0$.

Now we consider the Taylor development of $\sigma\colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ as

$$\sigma(z) = \sigma^{(1)}(0) \bullet z + \frac{1}{2!}\,\sigma^{(2)}(0) \bullet z^2 + \cdots + \frac{1}{j!}\,\sigma^{(j)}(0) \bullet z^j + \ldots$$

Suppose by contradiction that $DX(P_0) = 0$ then $DX^*(P_0) = 0$ and we have from the conjugacy equation for the flows $X_t^*(\sigma(z)) = \sigma\big(\widetilde{X}_t^*(z)\big), \forall\, t \in \mathbb{C}$ that

$$X_t^*\big(\sigma^{(1)}(0) \bullet z + \sum_{j\geq 2} \frac{1}{j!}\,\sigma^{(j)}(0) \bullet z^j\big) = \sigma^{(1)}(0) \bullet \widetilde{X}_t^*(z) + \sum_{j\geq 2} \frac{1}{j!}\,\sigma^{(j)}(0) \bullet \big(\widetilde{X}_t^*(z)\big)^j\,,\ \forall\, t \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Since $\sigma(z) \in \overline{L}_0\, \forall\, z$ and $X_t^* = X_t|_{\overline{L}_0}$ and $\widetilde{X}_t^*(z) = ze^{at}$, we can write

$$X_t^*\big(\sigma^{(1)}(0) \bullet z + \sum_{j\geq 2} \frac{1}{j!}\,\sigma^{(j)}(0) \bullet z^j\big) = \sigma^{(1)}(0) \bullet (ze^{at}) + \sum_{j\geq 2} \frac{1}{j!}\,\sigma^{(j)}(0) \bullet (ze^{at})^j\,,\ \forall\, t \in \mathbb{C}.$$

This gives a contradiction and proves the lemma. □

We also use

Lemma 7. *Let X be a* complete *holomorphic vector field on a connected Stein surface N with $H^2(N,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ having a* non-nilpotent *(isolated) singularity at $P_0 \in N$. Then we have two possibilities for the first jet of X at P_0.*

(i) $j^1_{P_0} X(x,y) = \lambda_1 x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \lambda_2 y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $\lambda_1\lambda_2 \neq 0$.
(ii) $j^1_{P_0} X(x,y) = (\lambda_1 x + y)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \lambda_1 y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $\lambda_1 \neq 0$.

In particular X is analytically linearizable around P_0 if there are at least three separatrices at P_0.

Proof. We divide the proof into several steps:

Step 1: $j^1_{P_0} X$ has rank two.

Proof of Step 1. Since $j^1_{P_0} X$ is non-nilpotent we may assume that $j^1_{P_0} X(x,y) = \lambda_1 y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ with $\lambda_1 \neq 0$. The (germ of the) vector field X (at P_0) is formally conjugate to a vector field $Y = \lambda_1 y\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{x^{k+1}}{1+\mu x^k}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, with $k \geq 1$, $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $j^1_{P_0} X$ is not zero, according to [38] $\mathcal{F}(X)$ has two smooth and transverse invariant manifolds Γ_x and Γ_y through P_0 tangent to the coordinate axes θ_x and θ_y respectively. Again if we consider the leaf L_0 containing $\Gamma_x - \{P_0\}$ then $L_0 \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ and $\overline{L}_0 = L_0 \cup \{P_0\} = L_0 \cup \Gamma_x$ is parameterized by a map (one-to-one) $\sigma\colon \mathbb{C} \to \overline{L}_0$ with $\sigma(0) = P_0$, and $X^* = X\big|_{\overline{L}_0}$ lifts to a vector field $\widetilde{X}^*$ on $\mathbb{C}$ with $\sigma_*(\widetilde{X}^*) = X^*$ and $\widetilde{X}^*(z) = az\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Therefore $\sigma_*\left(az\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)$ is formally conjugate to the vector field $Y^* = \frac{x^{k+1}}{1+\mu x^k}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and therefore it is of the form

$$\sigma_*\left(az\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) = \left(x^{k+1} + h.o.t.\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \quad (h.o.t. = \text{ higher order terms})$$

and this is not possible. Thus $j^1_{P_0} X$ has rank two. □

Step 2: $j^1_{P_0} X$ is of type (i) or (ii) as stated:

Proof of Step 2. Since $j^1_{P_0} X$ has rank two we conclude that

(1) $j^1_{P_0} X = \lambda_1 x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \lambda_2 y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 \neq 0$ or
(2) $j^1_{P_0} X = (\lambda_1 x + y)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \lambda_2 y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $\lambda_1 \neq 0$.

By the classical theorem of Briot-Bouquet a germ of type (1) or (2) above admits a *smooth* separatrix which is unique in case (2). In case (1) if $\lambda_1/\lambda_2 \notin \mathbb{R}_-$ then the singularity is either resonant with $\lambda_1/\lambda_2 = n \in \mathbb{N}$ conjugate to the Poincaré-Dulac normal form Y_n or it is analytically linearizable and either there is exactly one separatrix, there exactly two separatrices or there are infinitely many and $\lambda_1/\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_+$. Assume now that $\lambda_1/\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}_-$ then by [28] there are exactly two separatrices, which are smooth and transverse at P_0. This proves Step 2. □

The lemma follows from the three steps above. □

We can summarize the above discussion as follows:

Proposition 2. *Let X be a* complete *holomorphic vector field with finitely many singularities on a connected Stein surface N with $H^2(N,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$.*

(i) *Suppose X exhibits a dicritical singularity $P_0 \in \mathrm{sing}(\mathcal{F}(X))$ with non degenerate linear part (i.e., $DX(P_0)$ is nonsingular) then there is a holomorphic diffeomorphism $\Phi\colon N \to \mathbb{C}^2$ which takes the flow to a linear flow of X_λ with $\lambda = \frac{k}{\ell} \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ on $\mathbb{C}^2$.*

(ii) *A non-nilpotent dicritical singularity of X is necessarily linearizable. This is the case of a non-nilpotent singularity of X having at least three separatrices. A simple singularity of X is necessarily non-nilpotent.*

(iii) *Suppose $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is transverse to the boundary ∂D of some compact domain $D \subset N$ biholomorphic to the closed unit disc $\overline{D}^2(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ such that each leaf of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ crosses ∂D. Then there exists a holomorphic diffeomorphism $\Phi\colon N \to \mathbb{C}^2$ conjugating X to X_λ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}_-$) or to Y_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) on $\mathbb{C}^2$.*
As a consequence of (ii) *and* (iii) *we obtain:*

(iv) *Suppose $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is transverse to ∂D where $D \subset N$ is biholomorphic to $\overline{D}^2(1)$. Then there is a holomorphic diffeomorphism $\Phi\colon N \to \mathbb{C}^2$ conjugating X to X_λ, $\lambda = \frac{k}{\ell}$, $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, provided that either of the following conditions is satisfied:*
   (a) *$\mathcal{F}(X)$ has a meromorphic first integral in a neighborhood of D.*
   (b) *$\mathcal{F}(X)$ has infinitely many closed leaves in $D\backslash \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F}(X))$.*
   (c) *$\mathcal{F}(X)$ has some dicritical singularity in N.*
   (d) *$\mathcal{F}(X)$ has at least three closed leaves in $D\backslash \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F}(X))$.*
   (e) *$\mathcal{F}(X)$ has some closed leaf in $D\backslash \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F}(X))$ with finite holonomy group.*

Proof. Item (i) follows from the remark that any leaf $L \subset \partial B_{P_0}$ must accumulate one and only one singularity $P(L)$ in $N\backslash\overline{B_{P_0}}$ and this singularity is nondicritical (otherwise its basis of attraction would be open). Thus, the singularity $P(L)$ has only finitely many separatrices, what implies that the map $\partial B_{P_0} \ni L \mapsto P \in \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F}(X))$ is a finite-to-one map and since $\sharp \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F}(X)) < \infty$ this implies that the number of leaves on ∂B_{P_0} is finite. Now we apply the above Lemma 5 to conclude.

Item (ii) follows from Lemmas 6 and 7. Item (iii) is stated in Theorem 1. Item (iv) follows from (i), (ii) and (iii) if we observe that conditions (a), (b), (c) and (d) and (e) are all equivalent. □

Proof of Theorem 2. It is clear that (1) implies (2) because if N is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^2$ then we can equip N with a complete holomorphic vector field X which is conjugate to $X_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}_-$ and also with a complete holomorphic vector field Y conjugate to Y_n. Let us prove that (2) implies (1). Case (a) follows from the discussion in 5.1. Case (c) follows from 5.2 summarized in Proposition 2 (i). Case (b) follows from Proposition 2 (ii). Finally, Case (d) in Theorem 2 follows from the proof of Step 1 in the course of the proof of Lemma 4 or also as a straightforward consequence of Proposition 3 below. □

6. Proof of Theorem 3: global stability for holomorphic flows

As a consequence of the arguments in the preceding section we obtain the following global stability result for holomorphic flows on connected Stein surfaces. We detail the arguments in the proof of Theorem 3 announced in [42]:

Proof of Theorem 3. Regarding the hypothesis that L_0 is closed we recall that, according to [46], an orbit L biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$ is closed outside $\operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F}(X))$ and the closure $\overline{L}_0 \subset N$ is an irreducible analytic curve in N. Let L_0 be a closed orbit with finite holonomy group. Since N is Stein and $H^2(N, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ there is a reduced equation $\{f = 0\}$ for L_0 in N, where $f\colon N \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic.

Now we take a generator $\gamma\colon S^1 \to L_0$ of the homology of L_0 and a holomorphic one-form α in L_0 with the property $\int_\gamma \alpha = 1$. Since L_0 has finite holonomy it follows that given a transverse disc $\sum \approx \mathbb{D}$ to L_0 with $\Sigma \cap L_0 = \{P_0\}$, $P_0 \notin \mathrm{sing}(\mathcal{F}(X))$, some power of the loop γ lifts as a closed loop γ_z to the leaf L_z of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ through the point $z \in \Sigma$ if z is closed enough to p_0. Since N is a Stein manifold the one-form α is the restriction of a holomorphic one-form $\tilde{\alpha}$ in N. For $z \in \Sigma$ close enough to p_0 we have $\int_{\gamma_z} \tilde{\alpha} \neq 0$.

Now, $\int_{\gamma_z} \tilde{\alpha} = \int_{\gamma_z} \tilde{\alpha}\big|_{L_z}$ because $\gamma_z \subset L_z$ and since the restriction $\tilde{\alpha}\big|_{L_z}$ is closed (because its holomorphic) we conclude that L_z has nontrivial homology for all $z \in \Sigma$ close enough to p_0. Therefore, necessarily, $L_z \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ $\forall\, z \in \Sigma$, close enough to p_0. This implies that the generic orbit of X in N is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$ and $\mathcal{F}(X)$ admits a meromorphic first integral on N. □

According to what we have remarked in the beginning of the above proof we can equivalently state:

Proposition 3. *Let X be a complete holomorphic vector field in a connected Stein surface N with $H^2(N, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and having an orbit L_0 biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$, accumulating no singularity of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ and having finite holonomy group. Then $\mathcal{F}(X)$ admits a meromorphic first integral on N.*

Finally we also have

Corollary 3. *Let X be a complete holomorphic vector field with finite singular set on a connected Stein surface N with $H^2(N, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Suppose that X has infinitely many orbits biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$ with finite holonomy groups. Then $\mathcal{F}(X)$ admits a meromorphic first integral.*

Proof. We already know that if $\mathcal{F}(X)$ has some dicritical singularity then it admits a meromorphic first integral. Assume therefore that each singularity of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is nondicritical, i.e., exhibits only a finite number of separatrices. Let L be a leaf of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ which contains some separatrix of a singularity $p_0 \in \mathrm{sing}(\mathcal{F}(X))$. Then necessarily L is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$; otherwise $L \cong \mathbb{C}$ and $L \cup \{p_0\} \cong \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ gives a compact curve in N what is not possible. Therefore L is closed outside $\mathrm{sing}(\mathcal{F}(X))$ and $L \cup \{p_0\} \cong \mathbb{C}$ so that L contains no other separatrix of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ and therefore we conclude that a nonclosed leaf biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$ of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ accumulates exactly one singularity of $\mathcal{F}(X)$. Since the numbers of singularities and separatrices are finite it follows from the hypothesis that $\mathcal{F}(X)$ has some closed leaf biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$ with finite holonomy group and we may apply Proposition 3 to conclude. □

We close the paper with the following question:

Question 1. *Let X be a complete holomorphic vector field on $\mathbb{C}^2$ with a linearizable singularity of type X_λ, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$. Is X linearizable on $\mathbb{C}^2$?*

7. Proof of Theorem 4: flows with many periodic orbits

Let X be a complete holomorphic vector field on a connected Stein surface N such that $H^2(N, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Denote by $\xi(X) \subset N$ the subset of periodic orbits, i.e., orbits diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$. Assume that $\xi(X)$ contains infinitely many orbits and that these orbits have some convergence orbit, say L_0. We have two possibilities:

Case 1. L_0 is a periodic orbit. In this case it is closed off $\mathrm{sing}(X)$. If it is not closed in N then there is a single singular point $q \in \mathrm{sing}(X)$ which is accumulated by L_0. If q is dicritical then, as we have already see, there is a meromorphic first integral for $\mathcal{F}(X)$. Assume then that $q \in \mathrm{sing}(X)$ is not dicritical. Since q is a convergence point for $\xi(X)$ and q is non-dicritical, the germ of foliation $(\mathcal{F}(X), q)$ induced by $\mathcal{F}(X)$ at q, admits infinitely many closed leaves. By [8] this implies that either $\mathcal{F}(X)$ admits a holomorphic first integral, or it is a formal pull-back of a (nRnL) singularity. In the holomorphic first integral case it is easy to check that the flow is periodic in a neighborhood of the singularity and, therefore, by the Identity Principle, it is a periodic flow, corresponding to a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action. Using now the main result in [8] we conclude that the flow is a holomorphic pull-back of a linear periodic flow, admitting a holomorphic first integral of type $f^n g^m$, for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and some f, g holomorphic in N. Assume now that we are in the (nRnL) case. Then the holonomy group of the separatrix germ of the singularity q contained in the closure of the orbit L_0, is a (nRnL) map. Since L_0 is topologically a cylinder $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$, the fundamental group of L_0 is generated by some rational power of the loop γ that originates the local separatrix holonomy. Hence, the holonomy group of L_0 is generated by this (nRnL) map, proving that L_0 is a (nRnL) orbit. Finally, if L_0 is closed in N then, as above, there infinitely many closed orbits for its holonomy group (no matter what the orbits in $\xi(X)$ converging to L_0 accumulate or not some singularity of X). Thus, according to Lemma 3 this implies that the holonomy group of L_0 is either a finite group or it is generated by a periodic map and a (nRnL) map. In the finite case Theorem 3 implies that there is a meromorphic first integral. In the remaining case we have a (nRnL) orbit.

Case 2. L_0 is a simply-connected orbit. In this case if L_0 is not closed in N then there are two possibilities. Either L_0 accumulates at some singularity $q \in \mathrm{sing}(X)$, or L_0 accumulates at some orbit L_0' in N. If L_0 only accumulates at singularities, then by Remmert-Stein theorem, the closure $\overline{L_0} \subset$ is an analytic subset of N, of dimension one. Nevertheless, this set is topologically isomorphic to a Riemman sphere, therefore it is compact, which is not possible in a Stein manifold. Thus L_0 cannot accumulate only at singularities and must accumulate at some orbit L_0'. Since L_0 is a convergence orbit for $\xi(X)$, we conclude that the orbit L_0' is a convergence orbit for $\xi(X)$. If the orbit L_0' is periodic then we have again a periodic orbit in the convergence set of $\xi(X)$ and we can report to Case 1 above. Assume now that L_0' is a simply-connected orbit. If L_0' accumulates at some periodic orbit L_0'' then we are in the same situation above, replacing L_0' by L_0''. The remaining possibility is that L_0' accumulates only at simply-connected orbits. Finally, if L_0 is closed in N, then we have a simply-connected closed orbit in the convergence set of $\xi(X)$.

8. Proof of Theorem 5: flows on affine surfaces

In this section we study holomorphic flows on affine surfaces. By an *affine surface* we mean an algebraic surface embedded in some affine space $\mathbb{C}^m$. Such a complex manifold is Stein. A classical result due to Kodaira states that any Stein manifold can be embedded into some affine space. We consider the case where the manifold is algebraic. Also, we consider the case of algebraic vector fields, i.e., vector fields given by polynomial coordinates on the affine surface. Let X be an algebraic vector field on an affine surface $N \subset \mathbb{C}^m$. Denote by $\overline{N} \subset \mathbb{C}P^m$ the projectivization of N, i.e., the corresponding projective variety $\overline{N} \subset \mathbb{C}P^m$ such that $\overline{N} \cap \mathbb{C}^m = N$. For sake of simplicity, we also assume that

$\overline{N}$ is non-singular, so that this is a complex manifold with the natural structure. We shall refer to this by saying that N is *regular*. Since X is algebraic, the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ induced by X on N extends to a holomorphic foliation with singularites $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ on $\overline{N}$. Such a foliation is given by a rational one-form $\overline{\omega}$ on $\overline{N}$. We shall refer to the pair $(\overline{N}, \overline{\mathcal{F}})$ as the *projectivization* of the pair $(N, \mathcal{F})$. The divisor $D := \overline{N} \setminus N$ is a finite union of projective curves, each of which may be invariant or not by $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$. Recall that a singularity is a *generalized curve* if its reduction of singularities (cf. [5], [44]) by the blowing-up process, produces only non degenerate (i.e., no saddle-node) singularities [5]. Thus after the reduction of singularities, all singularities are of the local irreducible form $xdy - \lambda ydx + ... = 0$ and $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Q}_+$. We are therefore excluding one of the possible final products of the reduction of singularites: the case where the singularity is a *saddle-node*, which is a singularity of the form $y^{k+1}dx - [x(1 + \lambda y^k) + \text{h. o. t.}]dy = 0$, $k \geq 1$.

Our main result for this situation is Theorem 5 which we prove in what follows.

Proof of Theorem 5. Let us consider a singularity $q \in D \cap \text{sing}(\overline{\mathcal{F}})$. Given a local separatrix Γ_q of $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ through q, which is not contained in some local branch of D, we have two possibilities for the orbit $L(\Gamma_q)$ of X that contains $\Gamma_q^* := \Gamma_q \setminus \{q\}$: (i) $L(\Gamma_q)$ is a simply-connected orbit. In this case the union $\Gamma_q \cup L(\Gamma_q)$ is an invariant analytical rational algebraic curve $C(\Gamma_q) \subset \overline{N}$. (ii) $L(\Gamma_q)$ is a periodic orbit.

Since the number of local branches of D through any point $q \in D$ is finite we conclude that if q is dicritical then $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ has infinitely many invariant rational curves in $\overline{N}$ or it there is an open subset in N (the saturation of a sector with vertex at q) where the orbits of X are all periodic. In the first case by Darboux theorem ([26]) there is a rational first integral for $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$. In the second case, by Suzuki the generic orbit of X is periodic and there is a meromorphic first integral for $\mathcal{F}$ in N .

From now on we assume therefore that all the singularities of $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ are non-dicritical. Also we may assume that all the irreducible components of D are invariant by $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$.

As usual we denote by $\xi(X) \subset N$ the subset of periodic orbits of X in N. By Theorem 4 we have two cases (notice that $\overline{N}$ is compact so that the set of convergence points of $\xi(X)$ is not empty in $\overline{N}$):

Case 1. X has some meromorphic first integral in N.

Case 2. All the singularities of X in N are non-dicritical, $\xi(X)$ is a diverging set in N and no closed periodic orbit in N has finite holonomy.

Let us first consider Case 2. In this second case, since $\overline{N}$ is compact and $\xi(X)$ contains infinitely many orbits, there is some irreducible component $D_0 \subset D$ which is contained in the set of convergence points of $\xi(X)$. Because all the singularities in D are non-dicritical generalized curves (i.e., non-degenerate after the reduction of singularities with invariant exceptional divisor), and since D is invariant, it follows that all components in D are contained in the set of convergence points of $\xi(X)$. For sake of simplicity, we shall assume that the singularities in D are already irreducible, so that we may assume that all the singularities in D are non-degenerate. This does not imply any loss of generality. Indeed,

In this case, by the main result of [30], under some mild conditions on the singularities in D, the first integral extends as a rational first integral for $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ in $\overline{N}$. See the remark in the end of this section.

this would be the final picture after the reduction of singularities by a finite number of quadratic blow-ups in the singularities of $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ in D and we are considering the case where these singularities are non-dicritical. Therefore, the exceptional divisor together with the strict transform of D would be connected and invariant and also a very ample divisor in the corresponding projective manifold obtained from $\overline{N}$. Adopting this hypothesis we proceed. Let us fix a component $D_0 \subset D$. We introduce the *virtual holonomy* group of this component $\mathrm{Hol}^{\mathrm{virt}}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, D_0)$ as follows: Fix a point $p \in D_0$ which is not an intersection point of several components of D, nor a singular point for $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$. Given a transverse disc Σ_p to $\mathcal{F}$ centered at $p = \Sigma_p \cap D_0 = \Sigma \cap D$. The *virtual holonomy group* of the leaf with respect to the transverse section Σ_p and base point p is defined as ([6])

$$\mathrm{Hol}^{\mathrm{virt}}(\mathcal{F}, D_0, \Sigma_p, p) = \{f \in \mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma_p, p) \big| \tilde{L}_z = \tilde{L}_{f(z)}. \forall z \in (\Sigma_p, p)\}$$

The virtual holonomy group contains the holonomy group $\mathrm{Hol}(\mathcal{F}, L_p, \Sigma_p, p)$ of the leaf $p \in L_p = D_0 \setminus \mathrm{sing}(\mathcal{F})$, and consists of the map germs that preserve each of the leaves of the foliation.

Lemma 8. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be holomorphic foliation defined in a neighborhood U of the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$, with an isolated singularity at the origin. A closed leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ in U is analytic. A leaf that accumulates only at the singular point is contained in an invariant analytic curve. Let $\Gamma \subset U$ be a separatrix of the foliation through the origin, let $p \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$ and Σ_p a small disc transverse to the foliation and centered at p. Then any closed leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ intersecting Σ_p induces a closed orbit for the holonomy group $\mathrm{Hol}(\mathcal{F}, L_p, \Sigma_p, p)$ of the leaf L_p and the same holds for the virtual holonomy group $\mathrm{Hol}^{\mathrm{virt}}(\mathcal{F}, L_p, \Sigma_p, p)$.*

Proof. Indeed, by Remmert-Stein extension theorem ([22]), a leaf which is closed in U is analytic. Also by Remmert-Stein extension theorem, a leaf L such that $\overline{L} \setminus L = \{0\}$ has analytic closure, because $\dim L = 1 > 0 = \dim(\overline{L} \setminus L)$. The last part follows from the fact that the intersection of two transverse analytic sets of dimension one in $\mathbb{C}^2$, is a closed set of points. □

Using then the above argumentation we conclude that:

Lemma 9. *Each virtual holonomy group of the irreducible components of D is a group with infinitely many closed pseudo-orbits.*

Therefore, each such group is either finite or it contains some (nRnL) map and it is abelian formally but not analytically linearizable. Let D_0 and D_0' be two distinct components of D, intersecting at a *corner* $q = D_0 \cap D_0'$. Since both components are invariant, this corner is a singularity of $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$. Each of the components corresponds to a local separatrix of $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ through q. By the above lemma, each such local holonomy is a finite periodic map or a formally linearizable non-resonant map. We claim:

Claim 2. *Either both virtual holonomy groups of D_0 and D_0' are finite, or both are abelian formally linearizable, both containing a (nRnL) map.*

Proof. Assume that the virtual holonomy group of D_0 is finite. Then, the singularity $q = D_0 \cap D_0'$ is a linearizable singularity of the local form $nxdy + mydx = 0$ with $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, in suitable coordinates (x, y) centered at q, such that the components correspond to the coordinate axes. Assume by contradiction that the virtual holonomy group of D_0'

is not finite. Let us state that D_0 is given by $(y = 0)$ and D_0' by $(x = 0)$. Choose a disc $\Sigma : \{x = a\}$, for $|a| > 0$ small enough. There is some element $f(y)$ in this virtual holonomy group which is a (nRnL) map. Because f commutes with the local holonomy corresponding to the separatrix $(x = 0)$ of q contained in D_0' we conclude that $f(x) = \lambda x(1 + \psi(x^m)$, for some holomorphic one-variable function $\psi(z)$, vanishing at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus we can induce a virtual holonomy map $f^{\mathcal{D}} \in \mathrm{Hol}^{\mathrm{virt}}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, D_0, \Sigma, a)$ by setting $f^{\mathcal{D}}(y) = \lambda^{\frac{m}{n}} y(1 + \psi(y^n))^{\frac{m}{n}}$.

Remark 3 (Dulac map associated to a corner (cf. [12, 11, 41, 43]))**.** The local leaves of the foliation are given by $x^m y^n = cte$. The Dulac correspondence is the correspondence obtained by following these leaves

$$\mathcal{D}_q \colon \Sigma_0 \to \Sigma_0',\ \mathcal{D}_q(x) = \{x^{m/n}\}.$$

from a local transverse section Σ_0 to D_0 to another transverse section Σ_0' to D_0'. Thus, we have associated to a map f in the virtual holonomy of D_0', a well-defined map $f^{\mathcal{D}_q}$ in the virtual holonomy of D_0, such that it satisfies the "equation"

$$f^{\mathcal{D}_q} \circ \mathcal{D}_q = \mathcal{D}_q \circ f.$$

Since the original map f is a (nRnL) map, the same holds for the map $f^{\mathcal{D}_q}$. Thus the virtual holonomy group of D_0 also contains a (nRnL) map and therefore it cannot be finite, contradiction. This proves the claim. □

An iteration of this argument actually shows that:

Claim 3. *Either all virtual holonomy groups of components of D are finite, or all such virtual holonomy groups are abelian formally linearizable, containing (nRnL) maps.*

In case all virtual holonomy groups are finite, using the same argumentation in [10] we conclude that there is a holomorphic first integral for the foliation in a neighborhood W of D in $\overline{N}$. In the remaining case, also as in [10] we can conclude that there is a transversely formal closed meromorphic one-form $\hat{\Omega}$, defined on the divisor D, with simple poles, and which satisfies $\hat{\Omega} \wedge \overline{\omega} = 0$.

Now, we use the fact that since $\mathrm{sing}\, \overline{\mathcal{F}} \cap D$ is nondicritical it follows that $D \subset \overline{N}$ is a very ample algebraic divisor in the projective manifold $\overline{N}$ (see [23] for the definition of very ample curve). This is a consequence of Levi's Theorem [45], [6]. Therefore, according to Hironaka-Matsumura Theorem [23] $\hat{\Omega}$ is in fact a closed rational one-form on $\overline{N}$ with simple poles.

As for the Case 1., where there is a meromorphic first integral for $\mathcal{F}$ in N. We conclude, from Lemma 8, that all virtual holonomy groups of the components of D are finite and as above, there is a holomorphic first integral for $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ defined in a neighborhood W of D. Now, since $\overline{N} \setminus D = N \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is a Stein manifold, this implies, as in [6], that any meromorphic function or form, defined in a neighborhood of D in $\overline{N}$ extends to $\overline{N}$ as a rational function or form. Therefore, we conclude that, in Case 1, as well as in the remaining possibility for Case 2, there is a rational first integral for $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ defined in $\overline{N}$. This ends the proof of Theorem 5. □

Remark 4. Regarding the statement of Theorem 5 we can add:

(1) The case where the number of periodic orbits of X in N is finite is also addressable. Indeed, in this case if there is some dicritical singularity then $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ exhibits infinitely many invariant rational curves (i.e., compact curves diffeomorphic to the Riemann sphere $\overline{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$). By Darboux theorem the foliation admits a rational first integral. Thus we may assume that the singularities are non-dicritical. In this case the holonomy groups of the components of the divisor D are groups of germs of holomorphic diffeomorphisms without fixed points: indeed, any fixed point outside the origin, of a certain holonomy map, corresponds to a closed lifting of a closed path in the base leaf, generating this holonomy map. The leaf containing the fixed point cannot be simply-connected, contradiction. Thus, by Nakai density theorem these holonomy groups are solvable. Using then the techniques developed in [12] and [41] we can show that the foliation is given by a closed rational one-form with simple poles also in this case.

(2) As for the case the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ exhibits a meromorphic first integral on N, we can study its extension to a rational first integral on $\overline{N}$ as follows: from the work of Mol in [30], under some mild conditions on the singularities on D, there is some singularity in D exhibiting a separatrix not contained in D. In this case, the extension of the first integral to D is made starting from the separatrix to the irreducible component of D that contains the referred singularity and then to all the singularities contained in this component. Then we extend the first integral to the corners and to the adjacent components of D, and so on. Thus, the only case where the first integral cannot be extended to D, is when D contains all the separatrices of singularities of $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ in D. Nevertheless, in this case, according to the work of Licanic ([27]), under some conditions on the Picard group $Pic(\overline{N})$, we can assure that the foliation is a logarithmic foliation, i.e., given by a simple poles closed rational one-form.

REFERENCES

[1] V. Arnold: Chapitres Supplémentaires de la Théorie des Équations Différentielles Ordinaires, Mir 1980.

[2] F. Bracci: Local dynamics of holomorphic diffeomorphisms. Boll. UMI 7-B (2004), 609-636.

[3] M. Brunella, Complete polynomial vector fields on the complex plane. Topology 43 (2004) n°2, 433–445.

[4] C. Camacho, *On the local structure of conformal maps and holomorphic vector fields in* $\mathbb{C}^2$, Jounées Singuliéres de Dijon (Univ. Dijon, Dijon, 1978, 3, 83–94), Astérisque 59-60, Soc. Math. France, Paris 1978.

[5] C. Camacho, A. Lins Neto and P. Sad, *Topological invariants and equidesingularization for holomorphic vector fields*; J. of Diff. Geometry, vol. 20, no. 1 (1984), 143–174.

[6] C. Camacho, A. Lins Neto and P. Sad, *Foliations with algebraic limit sets*; Ann. of Math. 136 (1992), 429–446.

[7] C. Camacho, P. Sad: Invariant varieties through singularities of holomorphic vector fields. Ann. of Math. (2) 115 (1982) no. 3, 579–595.

[8] C. Camacho; B. Scardua: *Nondicritical* $\mathbb{C}^*$*- actions on two dimensional Stein manifolds*. Manuscripta Math. 129 (2009), no. 1, 91–98.

[9] C. Camacho; B. Scardua: *Actions of the groups* $\mathbb{C}$ *and* $\mathbb{C}^*$ *on Stein varieties.* Geom. Dedicata 139 (2009), 5–14.

[10] C. Camacho; B. Scardua: *A Darboux type theorem for germs of holomorphic one dimensional foliations*, preprint, October 2013.

[11] C. Camacho and B. Scárdua, *Foliations on complex projective spaces with algebraic limit sets*. Géométrie complexe et systèmes dynamiques (Orsay, 1995). Astérisque, 261, 57–88, Soc. Math. France, Paris 2000.

[12] C. Camacho, B. Scárdua; *Holomorphic foliations with Liouvillian first integrals*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems (2001), 21, pp.717-756.
[13] D. Cerveau et R. Moussu: *Groupes d'automorphismes de* $(\mathbb{C},0)$ *et équations différentielles* $ydy+\cdots=0$; Bull. Soc. Math. France, **116** (1988), 459–488.
[14] D. Cerveau, B. Scardua: Complete polynomial vector fields in two complex variables. Forum Mathematicum, Vol. 17, Issue 3, May 2005, pp.407-430.
[15] H. Cremer: Zum Zentrumproblem. Math. An.. 98 (1927), 151-163.
[16] H. Dulac; Solutions d'un système de équations différentiale dans le voisinage des valeus singulières, Bull. Soc. Math. France 40 (1912) 324–383.
[17] C. Godbillon: Feuilletages. Études géométriques. With a preface by G. Reeb. Progress in Mathematics, 98. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1991.
[18] E. Ghys: On transversely holomorphic flows II; Inv. Math. 126 (1996), no.2, 281–286.
[19] E. Ghys, J.C. Rebelo: Singularités des flots holomorphes II. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 47 (1997), no. 4, 1117–174.
[20] R.C. Gunning: Introduction to holomorphic functions of several variables; Vol. III. Homological theory. The Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Mathematics Series, Monterey, CA, 1990.
[21] R.C. Gunning, H. Rossi: Analytic functions of several complex variables. Prentice Hall, N.J. 1965.
[22] R.C. Gunning, *Introduction to holomorphic functions of several variables*, vol. II, Local Theory. Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Advanced Books & Software, Monterey, CA, 1990
[23] H. Hironaka, H. Matsumara, *Formal functions and formal embeddings*; J. Math. Soc. Japan, Vol. 20, Nos.1-2, 1968.
[24] T. Ito, A Poincaré-Bendixon type theorem for holomorphic vector fields. RIMS publication, Kyoto, nº 878, 1994.
[25] T. Ito, B. Scárdua: On the geometry of holomorphic flows and foliations having transverse sections; Geometriae Dedicata, Vol.111, No. 1, 23–42, 2005.
[26] J.P. Jouanolou, *Équations de Pfaff algèbriques*, Lecture Notes in Math. 708, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
[27] Licanic, Sergio Logarithmic foliations on compact algebraic surfaces. Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.) 31 (2000), no. 1, 113–125.
[28] J. Martinet, J-P. Ramis: Classification analytique des équations différentielles non linéaires résonnants du premier ordre. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 16 (1983), no. 4, 571–621.
[29] J.F. Mattei and R. Moussu, *Holonomie et intégrales premières*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **13** (1980), 469–523.
[30] R. S. Mol, *Meromorphic first integrals of polynomial foliations*, Ph.D. thesis, IMPA, 1998. TOHOKU MATHEMATICAL JOURNAL; 54; 85–104, 2002.
[31] I. Nakai, *Separatrices for nonsolvable dynamics on* $\mathbb{C},0$, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 44 (1994), 569–599.
[32] R. Pérez-Marco: Sur les dynamiques holomorphes non linéarisables et une conjecture de V.I. Arnold. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 26 (1993), 565-644.
[33] R. Pérez-Marco: Sur une question de Dulac et Fatou. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 321 (1995), 1045-1048.
[34] R. Pérez-Marco: Fixed points and circle maps. Acta Math. 179 (1997), 243-294.
[35] R. Pérez-Marco, J.-C. Yoccoz: *Germes de feuilletages holomorphes à holonomie prescrite.* Complex analytic methods in dynamical systems (Rio de Janeiro, 1992), Asterisque 222 (1994), 7, 345-371
[36] H.Poincaré; *Sur líntégration algébrique des équations différentielles du premier ordre et du premier degré*, Rendiconti del Circolo Matemático di Palermo, t.5 (1881), 161-191.
[37] Jasmin Raissy: Geometrical methods in the normalization of germs of biholomorphisms, Ph.D. Thesis, Università di Pisa 2010.
[38] J.C. Rebelo: Singularités des flots holomorphes. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 46 (1996), no. 2, 411–428.
[39] I. Richards: On the classification of noncompact surfaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 106 (1963), 259–269.
[40] R.W. Richardson: *Principal orbit types for reductive groups acting on Stein manifolds*; Math. Ann. 208, 323-331 (1974).
[41] B. Scárdua; *Integration of complex differential equations*. Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems, issue 1, vol. **5**, pp.1-50, 1999.
[42] B. Scardua: *On the classification of holomorphic flows and Stein surfaces;* Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations Vol. 52, No. 1, January 2007, 79-83.

[43] B. Scárdua; *Complex vector fields having orbits with bounded geometry*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) 54 (2002), no. 3, 367–392.
[44] A. Seidenberg, *Reduction of singularities of the differential equation* $Ady = Bdx$; Amer. J. of Math. 90 (1968), 248–269.
[45] Y. Siu, *Techniques of Extension of Analytic Objects*; Marcel Dekker, N.Y., 1974.
[46] M. Suzuki; Sur les opérations holomorphes de $\mathbb{C}$ et de $\mathbb{C}^*$ sur un espace de Stein; Séminaire Norguet, Springer Lect. Notes in Math. 670 (1977), 80–88.
[47] M. Suzuki; Sur les opérations holomorphes du groupe additif complexe sur l'space de deux variables complexes; Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. 4 ^{e} série, t.10, 1977, p. 517 à 546.

T. ITO AND Y. YAMAGISHI: DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL SCIENCE, RYUKOKU UNIVERSITY, FUSHIMI-KU, KYOTO 612, JAPAN

B. SCARDUA: INST. MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO DE JANEIRO. CAIXA POSTAL 68530, RIO DE JANEIRO-RJ, 21.945-970 BRAZIL